

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/514,417	11/15/2004	Stephane Denis Thirouin	P70213US0	P70213US0 2426		
136	7590 01/18/2006		EXAMINER			
	JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20004		3723			

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)OO:
•	
6	1
-	\simeq
***	#
. (U
7	F
' 🧏	U
. / 🔾	>
	_
્ 📞	
. (4	b
	5
	4
. '	١.
	١ .
	l

		Application No.	A1:4(-)	81		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer		10/514,417	THIROUIN, STEPHAN	NE DENIS'		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1 4		
		Shantese L. McDonald	3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss		
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commit (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	October 2005.				
		nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the me	erits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
_				• •		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	5)					
_	Claim(s) 11 and 17 is/are objected to.			•		
_						
,						
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Exami					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the l			` '		
11/	The dath of declaration is objected to by the i	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-	152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☑ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ed in this National Sta	ge 🐪 🚣		
	application from the International Bure			Ţ		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment	t(s)			ď		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P	ate 'atent Application (PTO-152	2)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	.,			

Application/Control Number: 10/514,417

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9,12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold, (5,819,606).

Arnold teaches a semi rigid, plastic or metal pellet, 42, (col. 5, lines 2-3), adapted to be used with a female recess tool, 10, for clamping a mobile element, (the ratchet wrench), to be completely inserted in the female recess, (fig. 14), and to maintained therein by forces of friction generated by rubbings between an inner wall of the female recess and a lateral surface of the element, the device comprising an element having three dimensions, one of the three dimensions being substantially smaller than the other two of the three dimensions and being located in a plane perpendicular to an axis of clamping of the mobile element, the element marking or identify the female recess tool by a multilayered, (the color, and the writing), inscription on two sides, (col. 4, lines 50-54), corresponding to the dimensions of the tool, (col. 4, lines 31-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/514,417

Art Unit: 3723

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold.

Arnold teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the pellet having a thickness included between 0.1 and 1.5 mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the pellet of Arnold with the thickness of between 0.1 and 1.5 mm, in order to correspond with the various tools in which the pellets will be utilized, and since in has been held to be where the general conditions of the claims have been disclosed in the prior art, discovering the workable or optimum ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.L.M. December 27, 2005

> / LEE'D' WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.